Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/495,931	YAMANAKA, TAKATOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barry Choobin	2625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Barry_Choobin.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Becker.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>06 May 2005</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>17-20</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant canceled claims</u>		if an agreement v	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		1 .111	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	MIMA	- 46/05	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)